

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 962 215 A3

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(88) Date of publication A3:
14.11.2001 Bulletin 2001/46

(51) Int Cl.7: **A61K 6/083**

(43) Date of publication A2:
08.12.1999 Bulletin 1999/49

(21) Application number: **99304069.0**

(22) Date of filing: **26.05.1999**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE**
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(72) Inventors:
• **Kawaguchi, Satoshi**
Inazawa-shi, Aichi (JP)
• **Hasegawa, Akira**
Inuyama-shi, Aichi (JP)

(30) Priority: **26.05.1998 JP 16292998**

(71) Applicant: **GC Dental Products Corporation**
Kasuga-shi, Aichi (JP)

(74) Representative: **Calamita, Roberto et al**
Frank B. Dehn & Co.,
European Patent Attorneys,
179 Queen Victoria Street
London EC4V 4EL (GB)

(54) Dental composition and artificial tooth made thereof

(57) A dental composition useful for an artificial tooth and an artificial tooth with use of the said dental composition by which color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit can be maintained for a long period of time and which have superior characteristics such as bending strength, impact strength, hardness and wear resistance. The dental composition comprises (A) at least one monomer and/or oligomer selected from methacrylates and acrylates, (B) an uncrosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and sty-

rene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s), (C) a crosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s), (D) an organic and inorganic filler complex, and optionally (E) an inorganic filler. The said dental composition is used to make a dough, which is polymerized and molded into an artificial tooth.

EP 0 962 215 A3



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 99 30 4069

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.8)
Y	US 4 396 476 A (ROEMER FREDERICK D ET AL) 2 August 1983 (1983-08-02) * column 5, line 57 - column 8, line 11 * * column 9, line 30 - column 10, line 51 * * claims; examples *	1-10	A61K6/083
Y	US 5 127 834 A (NAKAMURA YUJI ET AL) 7 July 1992 (1992-07-07) * column 11, line 41 - column 13, line 13 * * claims *	1-10	
A	EP 0 427 300 A (DENTSPLY INT INC) 15 May 1991 (1991-05-15) * page 2, line 24 - line 48 * * claims; examples *	1-10	
A	EP 0 599 223 A (DENTSPLY INT INC) 1 June 1994 (1994-06-01)		
A	EP 0 678 533 A (DENTSPLY GMBH) 25 October 1995 (1995-10-25)		TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.8)
			A61K
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 25 September 2001	Examiner Cousins-Van Steen, G
<p>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</p> <p>X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document</p> <p>T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document</p>			

EPO FORM 1603 03/82 (P4/C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 99 30 4069

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on.
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

25-09-2001

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4396476	A	02-08-1983	AR 230950 A1	31-08-1984
			AT 6660 T	15-03-1984
			AU 533113 B2	03-11-1983
			AU 5514580 A	07-08-1980
			BR 8000625 A	14-10-1980
			CA 1173991 A1	04-09-1984
			DE 3066897 D1	19-04-1984
			DK 36780 A	02-08-1980
			EP 0014515 A2	20-08-1980
			ES 488149 D0	16-06-1981
			ES 8105567 A1	01-09-1981
			IL 59163 A	15-05-1983
			IN 151528 A1	14-05-1983
			JP 1745812 C	25-03-1993
			JP 4020882 B	07-04-1992
			JP 55149302 A	20-11-1980
			PH 17248 A	03-07-1984
			ZA 8000342 A	28-01-1981
US 5127834	A	07-07-1992	JP 2174842 A	06-07-1990
			JP 2517753 B2	24-07-1996
			CH 682127 A5	30-07-1993
			DE 3943188 A1	05-07-1990
EP 0427300	A	15-05-1991	US 4551486 A	05-11-1985
			US 4711913 A	08-12-1987
			AU 581862 B2	09-03-1989
			AU 3419984 A	23-05-1985
			BR 8405826 A	17-09-1985
			CA 1262388 A1	17-10-1989
			EP 0142747 A2	29-05-1985
			EP 0427300 A1	15-05-1991
			JP 2594775 B2	26-03-1997
			JP 7286018 A	31-10-1995
			JP 2510487 B2	26-06-1996
			JP 60173058 A	06-09-1985
			US 4863977 A	05-09-1989
			US 5210109 A	11-05-1993
			ZA 8408878 A	29-01-1986
EP 0599223	A	01-06-1994	CA 2103398 A1	20-05-1994
			CA 2103400 A1	20-05-1994
			EP 0599223 A1	01-06-1994
			US 6139322 A	31-10-2000
			US 2001012861 A1	09-08-2001

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 99 30 4069

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

25-09-2001

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0678533 A	25-10-1995	CA 2146816 A1	23-10-1995
		EP 0678533 A2	25-10-1995
		FI 951909 A	23-10-1995
		NO 951494 A	23-10-1995
		US 5998499 A	07-12-1999
		US 5876210 A	02-03-1999
		ZA 9503252 A	19-02-1996
<hr/>			

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82.

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 962 215 A2

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:

08.12.1999 Bulletin 1999/49

(51) Int Cl. 6: **A61K 6/083**

(21) Application number: **99304069.0**

(22) Date of filing: **26.05.1999**

(84) Designated Contracting States:

**AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE**

Designated Extension States:

AL LT LV MK RO SI

(72) Inventors:

- **Kawaguchi, Satoshi**
Inazawa-shi, Aichi (JP)
- **Hasegawa, Akira**
Inuyama-shi, Aichi (JP)

(30) Priority: **26.05.1998 JP 16292998**

(71) Applicant: **GC Dental Products Corporation**
Kasugai-shi, Aichi (JP)

(74) Representative: **Calamita, Roberto et al**
Frank B. Dehn & Co.,
European Patent Attorneys,
179 Queen Victoria Street
London EC4V 4EL (GB)

(54) Dental composition and artificial tooth made thereof

(57) A dental composition useful for an artificial tooth and an artificial tooth with use of the said dental composition by which color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit can be maintained for a long period of time and which have superior characteristics such as bending strength, impact strength, hardness and wear resistance. The dental composition comprises (A) at least one monomer and/or oligomer selected from methacrylates and acrylates, (B) an uncrosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and sty-

rene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s), (C) a crosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s), (D) an organic and inorganic filler complex, and optionally (E) an inorganic filler. The said dental composition is used to make a dough, which is polymerized and molded into an artificial tooth.

EP 0 962 215 A2

Description

[0001] The present invention relates to a dental composition and an artificial tooth with the use of said dental composition. In more detail, the invention relates to a dental composition which has, amongst others, good mechanical properties and mechanical machinability, which is superior in color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit, and which is suitable for an artificial tooth, as well as to an artificial tooth with the use of said dental composition.

[0002] An artificial tooth is generally required to satisfy various requirements, for example, good mechanical properties such as wear resistance, strength, and impact resistance; good mechanical machinability; good color stability and coloring resistances; superior aesthetic merit; absence of biological detrimentality; and a good biological compatibility etc.

[0003] As artificial teeth, resin teeth comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component, hard resin teeth comprising multifunctional methylmethacrylate and inorganic fillers, as well as porcelain teeth have been developed and are in use.

[0004] As resin teeth, those comprising methacrylate polymers and copolymers mainly consisting of methacrylate have been developed and are in use. Although those are cheap and superior in aesthetic merit, color stability and impact resistance, they have low and insufficient wear resistance. Since resin teeth are exposed to a high temperature when ground with abrasives such as carborundum point or stamp bur for occlusal adjustment by means of a laboratory machine etc., resins are melted and adhere to the abrasives, which lowers grinding efficiency extremely. Further, even though there are some differences in occlusive force during mastication of food between persons, the force is said to correspond generally to the weight of the person. Thus, when such occlusive force is subjected to a tip of a tooth, occlusive pressure at the tip of the tooth caused by the occlusive force becomes vast. From the above-mentioned reasons, there may be easily formed wear and damages in resin teeth due to occlusion during mastication or use of a tooth brush etc. Further, a tooth shape may be changed by strong mastication, thus it is difficult to use it stably for a long period of time.

[0005] With a view to overcome the defects of resin teeth, hard resin teeth have been developed. Those are composite materials (composite resins) in which polymerized and hardened resins are used as matrices. The resins are prepared by kneading and dispersing inorganic fillers into multifunctional methacrylate monomers and/or oligomers. The hard resin teeth have an aesthetic merit necessary for anterior teeth and sufficient mechanical strength against occlusive pressure for molars. Further, they have preferably improved wear resistance since the inorganic fillers have high hardness. However, the inorganic fillers dispersed in the matrix resins are dug up and exposed at the surface by form-correction or polishing, or are peeled off the surface. Thus, the surface of the hard resin tooth becomes rough, resulting in deteriorated touch with the tongue. Further, since dental plaque or dental calculus is deposited in a voids formed by peeling of the inorganic fillers off the hard resin tooth. Thereby, the hard resin tooth may be colored. Since the multifunctional methacrylate polymer itself has a poor color stability, it may be discolored during use in a mouth. Additionally, since the hard resin tooth has higher hardness than the conventionally resin tooth, fractures or chips may be formed during laboratory procedures and a cusp may be easily fractured by strong mastication in the mouth.

[0006] A porcelain tooth, one kind of ceramic artificial tooth used for long, is high in wear resistance, excellent in aesthetic merit, color stability and coloring resistance, free from biological detrimentality or irritation in the oral condition; good in touch with the tongue, and is a stable dental material exhibiting no change in material quality even in a long-term use. However, as compared with resin and hard resin teeth, it is defective in that it is high in cost, poor in elasticity, low in impact resistance because of brittleness, and tends to suffer cracking and fracture. Further, there occurs a considerable shrinkage caused by firing, thus making it difficult to accurately sinter into a prescribed shape. It is the usual practice to use a porcelain tooth after firing; polishing and form-correction. Thermal stress during correction polishing causes microcracks. And those microcracks grow larger through repetition of occlusive pressure during mastication of food and impact caused by chewing hard food, thus resulting in fatigue breakage, or under an excessive occlusion load, in breakage. When a porcelain tooth is used, mechanical retention means such as a pin or a retaining hole is provided in the porcelain tooth to achieve an integral connection of the porcelain material and the denture base resin, because of a very low adhesion between the porcelain tooth and the denture base resin serving as a denture or a support. Such retention means tend however to be subjected to stress concentration, and a defective attachment causes cracks in the surrounding denture base resin or breakage of the porcelain tooth after attachment in the mouth. The porcelain tooth may also tend to come off the denture base resin. For these reasons, manufacture of a satisfactory denture using a porcelain tooth requires skill and a high cost.

[0007] Thus, there are advantages and disadvantages as artificial teeth with resin teeth, hard resin teeth and porcelain teeth. There is therefore a need for an artificial tooth having balanced advantages of resin teeth, hard resin teeth and porcelain teeth and also with decreased disadvantages.

[0008] The present invention was created as a result of extensive studies carried out in view of the circumstances as described above, and has an object to provide a dental composition which can maintain from among superior color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit, which has superior characteristics in bending strength, impact strength,

hardness and wear resistance etc., and which is suitable for an artificial tooth, as well as to an artificial tooth with use of said dental composition.

[0009] The foregoing and other objects, features and advantages of the present invention will become clear from the following detailed description.

5 [0010] The dental composition and the artificial tooth according to the invention are described as follows. Herein, in order to illustrate the present invention, materials used are shown as follows for convenience.

Raw material (A) : at least one monomer and/or oligomer selected from methacrylates and acrylates.

10 Raw material (B) : an uncrosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s).

Raw material (C) : a crosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s).

15 Raw material (D) : an organic and inorganic filler complex.

Raw material (E) : an inorganic filler.

[0011] Therefore, the dental composition according to the invention comprises a raw material (A), a raw material (B), a raw material (C) and a raw material (D).

20 [0012] Thus, a dental composition which can maintain superior color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit for a long period of time, which is superior in bending strength, impact strength, hardness and wear resistance etc., and which has balanced characteristics suitable for an artificial tooth is provided.

[0013] Further, the dental composition according to the invention may comprise a raw material (A), a raw material (B), a raw material (C), a raw material (D) and a raw material (E).

25 [0014] Thus, a dental composition which can maintain superior color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit for a long period of time, and which has superior characteristics in bending strength, impact strength, hardness and wear resistance etc., suitable for an artificial tooth is provided, since the raw material (E) is cheaper than the raw material (D) and can increase hardness easily, even though some opacity may be easily appeared due to the material (E).

30 [0015] It is preferable that the crosslinked polymer is at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group. The raw material (A) may swell the crosslinked polymer, or may be absorbed in the crosslinked polymer, to be polymerized and hardened, by which three-dimensional interpenetrative network constitution is formed. For the crosslinked polymer comprising an allyl group, the crosslinked polymer and the polymer derived from the raw material (A) are graft-polymerized via the allyl group, to form the interpenetrative network structure. For the crosslinked polymer without an allyl group, the interpenetrative network structure is formed with the raw material (A).

35 [0016] Among them, increase in hardness and improvement in wear resistance may be obtained by the crosslinked polymer comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component without an allyl group. Further, increase in hardness as well as improvement in wear resistance and strength may be obtained by the crosslinked polymer comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with an allyl group. Therefore, if both crosslinked polymers are used in together, increase in hardness as well as improvement in wear resistance and strength may be obtained.

40 [0017] As more concrete dental compositions, there may be exemplified a dental composition comprising a monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate, uncrosslinked polymethylmethacrylate, at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group, and an organic and inorganic filler complex, as well as a composition comprising a monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate, uncrosslinked polymethylmethacrylate, at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group, an organic and inorganic filler complex, and an inorganic filler.

45 [0018] The artificial tooth according to the invention is produced from a composition comprising a raw material (A), a raw material (B), a raw material (C) and a raw material (D) wherein a monomer and/or oligomer of the raw material (A) being polymerized and hardened.

50 [0019] Thus, an artificial tooth which can maintain superior color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit for a long period of time, which is superior in bending strength, impact strength, hardness and wear resistance etc., and which has balanced characteristics is provided.

55 [0020] Further, the artificial tooth according to the invention is produced from a composition comprising a raw material (A), a raw material (B), a raw material (C), a raw material (D) and a raw material (E) wherein a monomer and/or oligomer of the raw material (A) being polymerized and hardened.

[0021] Thus, an artificial tooth which can maintain superior color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit for a long period of time, and which has superior characteristics in bending strength, impact strength, hardness and wear

resistance etc., is provided, since the raw material (E) is cheaper than the raw material (D) and can increase hardness easily, even though some opacity may be easily appeared due to the material (E).

[0022] It is preferable that the crosslinked polymer is at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group. The raw material (A) may swell the crosslinked polymer, or may be absorbed in the crosslinked polymer, to be polymerized and hardened, by which three-dimensional interpenetrative network constitution is formed. For the crosslinked polymer comprising an allyl group, the crosslinked polymer and the polymer derived from the raw material (A) are graft-polymerized via the allyl group, to form the interpenetrative network structure. For the crosslinked polymer without an allyl group, the interpenetrative network structure is formed with the raw material (A).

[0023] Among them, increase in hardness and improvement in wear resistance may be obtained by the crosslinked polymer comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component without an allyl group. Further, increase in hardness as well as improvement in wear resistance and strength may be obtained by the crosslinked polymer comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with an allyl group. Therefore, if both crosslinked polymers are used in together, increase in hardness as well as improvement in wear resistance and strength may be obtained.

[0024] As more concrete artificial teeth, there may be exemplified an artificial tooth produced from a composition comprising a monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate, uncrosslinked polymethylmethacrylate, at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group, and an organic and inorganic filler complex wherein the monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate being polymerized and hardened, as well as an artificial tooth produced from a composition comprising a monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate, uncrosslinked polymethylmethacrylate, at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group, an organic and inorganic filler complex, and an inorganic filler wherein the monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate being polymerized and hardened.

[0025] These artificial teeth have superior characteristics which have advantages of the conventional resin teeth and advantages of the hard resin teeth in together and compensate the defects of these resin and hard resin teeth. Further, they are obtained inexpensively and have superior durability.

[0026] The present invention is illustrated in more detail as follows.

[0027] As the methacrylate monomer of the raw material (A), there are exemplified methylmethacrylate, alkyl methacrylates, alicyclic, aromatic, heterocyclic and vinyl group containing methacrylates, hydroxy(alkoxy) containing methacrylates, di and tri-methacrylates, carboxylic acid-containing methacrylates, dialkylaminoethyl methacrylates, fluoroalkyl methacrylates. More concretely, there are exemplified methyl methacrylate, ethyl methacrylate, n-butyl methacrylate, i-butyl methacrylate, t-butyl methacrylate, 2-ethylhexyl methacrylate, cyclohexyl methacrylate, benzylmethacrylate, 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, 2-hydroxypropyl methacrylate, dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate, diethylaminoethyl methacrylate, glycidyl methacrylate, tetrahydrofurfuryl methacrylate, ethyleneglycol dimethacrylate, 1,3-butyleneglycol dimethacrylate, diethyleneglycol dimethacrylate, triethylene glycol dimethacrylate, polyethyleneglycol dimethacrylate, 1,6-hexanediol dimethacrylate and trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate. Further, acrylates corresponding to the above-mentioned methacrylates may be exemplified. Herein, if monomers being corresponded to these methacrylates or acrylates, those are not limited to the above-mentioned monomers. As the raw material (A), not only monomers but also oligomers as well as mixtures of monomers and oligomers may be used.

[0028] As the raw material (B), there are exemplified homopolymers of methyl methacrylate, ethyl methacrylate, n-butyl methacrylate, i-butyl methacrylate, t-butyl methacrylate, 2-ethylhexyl methacrylate, cyclohexyl methacrylate, benzylmethacrylate, 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, 2-hydroxypropyl methacrylate, dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate, diethylaminoethyl methacrylate, glycidyl methacrylate, tetrahydrofurfuryl methacrylate, ethyleneglycol dimethacrylate, 1,3-butyleneglycol dimethacrylate, diethyleneglycol dimethacrylate, triethylene glycol dimethacrylate, polyethyleneglycol dimethacrylate, 1,6-hexanediol dimethacrylate, trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate, homopolymers of acrylates corresponding to the above-mentioned methacrylates; homopolymers of styrene, and their copolymers, as well as mixtures of these homopolymers and copolymers. Herein, if polymers being these homopolymers of methacrylates or acrylates corresponding to the above mentioned methacrylates or acrylates, or their copolymers, or mixtures of these homopolymers and copolymers, those are not limited to the above-mentioned substances. These may be used alone or in mixtures of two or more.

[0029] In general, the raw material (B) may be preferably used as particles. An average particle size of the raw material (B) is preferably 100 μm or less, since artificial teeth having good aesthetic merit can be obtained from said material when for example the monomer and/or oligomer of the raw material (A) being polymerized and hardened to make an artificial teeth. If the average particle size being above 100 μm , particles of the raw material (B) may appear on the surface of the artificial tooth as pearly form, which being not preferable due to lack of aesthetic merit.

[0030] The raw material (A) makes the raw material (B) swell, or the latter is made relatively dissolved or dispersed in the raw material (A). Additionally, the raw material (A) makes the crosslinked polymer of the raw material (C) to swell, by which a part of the raw material (A) is absorbed in the raw material (C). When the raw material (A) is polymerized and hardened, it forms in together with the raw material (C) an interpenetrative network structure. In the case that the

dental composition comprises the raw material (A), the raw material (B), the raw material (C) and the raw material (D), the raw material (B) acts to bond the raw material (A) with the raw material (C) and the raw material (D) when the raw material (A) is polymerized and hardened. Further, in the case that the dental composition comprises the raw material (A), the raw material (B), the raw material (C), the raw material (D) and the raw material (E), the raw material (B) acts to bond the raw material (A) with the raw material (C), the raw material (D) and the raw material (E) when the raw material (A) is polymerized and hardened.

[0031] As the raw material (C), there are exemplified homopolymers of trimethylolpropane trimethylmethacrylate or its acrylates, allylmethacrylates, methylmethacrylate, ethyleneglycol di(meth)acrylate, diethyleneglycol di(meth)acrylate, triethyleneglycol di(meth)acrylate, neopentylglycol di(meth)acrylate, 1,3-butanediol di(meth)acrylate, 1,4-butanediol di(meth)acrylate, 1,6-hexanediol di(meth)acrylate, 2,2-bis(4-(meth)acryloxypropoxyphenyl)propane, 2,2-bis(4-(meth)acryloxypropoxypropoxyphenyl)propane, bis(4-(meth)acryloxypropoxyphenyl)methane, 2,2-bis(4-(meth)acryloxydiethoxyphenyl)propane, bis-(meth)acryloxyethylhydroxy isocyanurate, 2,6-bis[2'-(meth)acryloxyethyl]urethane caproic methyl, homopolymers of styrene, and their copolymers, as well as mixtures of these homopolymers and copolymers. Herein, if polymers being these homopolymers of methacrylates or acrylates corresponding to the above-mentioned methacrylates or acrylates, or their copolymers, or mixtures of these homopolymers and copolymers, those are not limited to the above-mentioned substances. These may be used alone or in mixtures of two or more.

[0032] In general, the raw material (C) may be preferably used as particles. An average particle size of the raw material (C) is preferably 100 μm or less, since artificial teeth having good aesthetic merit can be obtained from said material when for example the monomer and/or oligomer of the raw material (A) being polymerized and hardened to make an artificial teeth. If the average particle size is above 100 μm , particles of the raw material (C) may appear on the surface of the artificial tooth as pearly form, which is not preferable due to lack of aesthetic merit.

[0033] As the inorganic filler, i.e. the raw material (E), there are exemplified quartz powders, alumina powders, silica powders, kaolin, talc, calcium carbonate, barium aluminosilicate glass, titanium oxide, borosilicate glass, colloidal silica, alumina whiskers, beryllium oxide whiskers, boron carbide whiskers, silicon carbide whiskers, silicon nitride whiskers and various metal whiskers. As an average particle size of the inorganic filler, it is preferably from 0.005 to 50 μm . If the average particle size is less than 0.005 μm , the filler becomes bulky and has quite high specific surface area. Thus, it takes a long time for uniform mixing with the raw material (B). Further, the mixture becomes too hard and difficult for making dough, by which contact with a mold becomes bad. Additionally, it is also not preferable since improvement in mechanical strength etc. is insufficient when made into an artificial tooth. If the average particle size is above 50 μm , particles become large, which makes brightness on surface insufficient, a touch to a tongue inferior when made into an artificial tooth. Further, tooth plaque may be adhered to voids formed by peeling off of the inorganic filler or colorants of foods etc. may be adhered to them. Thereby, discoloring or coloring may be found, which is not preferable.

[0034] It is preferable that the inorganic filler is beforehand subjected to a surface treatment with a coupling agent described below.

[0035] As the organic and inorganic filler complex, i.e. the raw material (D), there are exemplified those obtained by mixing the above-mentioned inorganic filler with a methacrylate or acrylate monomer, polymerizing and then pulverizing them. As the methacrylate or acrylate monomer, there may be used any of those described in detail for the above-mentioned raw material (A). As examples of the organic and inorganic filler complex, there may be used those obtained by adding the above-mentioned inorganic fillers, a methacrylate or acrylate monomer, a polymerization catalyst as described below, for example peroxides such as benzoyl peroxide, azo compounds such as azobisisobutyronitrile, a coupling agent, and optionally a colorant, an oxidation stabilizer, an ultraviolet-ray absorbing agent, a pigment or a dye etc. appropriately, stirring to mix them, polymerizing them at within a range of 80 to 120° C, and then pulverizing them for example in a ball mill to an average particle size within a range of 1 to 50 μm . If the average particle size is less than 1 μm , the filler has a quite high specific surface area. Thus, it takes a long time for uniform mixing with the raw material (A). Further, the mixture becomes too hard and difficult for making dough, by which contact with a mold becomes bad. Additionally, it is also not preferable since improvement in mechanical strength etc. is insufficient when made into an artificial tooth. If the average particle size is above 50 μm , particles become large, which makes brightness on surface insufficient, a touch to a tongue inferior when made into an artificial tooth. Further, tooth plaque may be adhered to voids formed by peeling off of the inorganic filler, or colorants of foods etc. may be adhered to them. Thereby, discoloring or coloring may be found, which is not preferable. Further, there may be used those obtained by subjecting the inorganic filler beforehand to a surface treating with a coupling agent and those obtained by adding the coupling agent directly to the methacrylate or acrylate monomer and integrally blending them.

[0036] As the coupling agent, there are exemplified organofunctional silane coupling agents, coupling agents based on titanates and coupling agents based on zircoaluminates etc.

[0037] As the organofunctional silane coupling agents, there are exemplified γ -methacryloxypropyl trimethoxysilane, γ -glycidoxypropyl trimethoxysilane, vinyltrichlorosilane, vinyltriethoxysilane, N- β (aminoethyl) γ -aminopropyl trimethoxysilane, N- β (aminoethyl) γ -aminopropylmethyl dimethoxysilane, γ -chloropropyl trimethoxysilane and γ -aminopropyl triethoxysilane etc.

[0038] As the coupling agents based on titanates, there are exemplified isopropyltriisostearoyl titanate, isopropyltri-dodecyl-benzenesulfonyl titanate, isopropyltris(dioctylpyrophosphate) titanate, tetraisopropylbis(dioctylphosphite) titanate, tetraoctylbis(ditridecylphosphite) titanate, tetra(2,2-diallyloxymethyl-1-butyl)bis(di-tridecyl)phosphite titanate, bis(dioctylpyrophosphate)oxyacetate titanate, bis(dioctyl-pyrophosphate)ethylene titanate, isopropyltriocanoyl titanate, isopropyltrimethacrylisostearoyl titanate, isopropylisostearoyl diacryl titanate, isopropyltri (dioctylphosphate) titanate, isopropyltricumylphenyl titanate, isopropyltri(N-aminoethyl-aminoethyl) titanate, dicumylphenyloxyacetate titanate and diisostearoylethylene titanate etc.

[0039] As the coupling agents based on zircoaluminates, there are exemplified alcoholic CAVCO MOD (made by CAVCO MOD: CAVEDON CHEMICAL CO., INC.), and glycolic CAVCO MOD (made by CAVCO MOD: CAVEDON CHEMICAL CO., INC.) etc.

[0040] An amount of the coupling agent added to the methacrylate or acrylate monomer is preferably from 0.1 to 25 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the monomer. If it is less than 0.1 parts by weight, it provided no effect as the coupling agent, resulting in insufficient adhesion of ultra-fine particulate filler to the above-mentioned monomer, which is not preferable. If it is above 25 parts by weight, an excess amount of the coupling agent acts as a plasticizer or defects, which is not preferable.

[0041] For the dental compositions comprising the above-mentioned raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C) and raw material (D) or the above-mentioned raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and raw material (E), it is preferable to add a polymerization catalyst in order to polymerize and harden the raw material (A).

[0042] As the polymerization catalyst, a thermal polymerization initiator is preferable. As the thermal polymerization initiator, there are exemplified organic peroxides such as benzoyl peroxide, ketone peroxide, peroxyketal, hydroperoxide, dialkyl peroxide, diacyl peroxide, peroxyester and peroxydicarbonate; and azo compounds such as 2, 2'-azobisisobutyronitrile, 2,2'-azobis-2,4-dimethylvaleronitrile, 4,4'-azobis-4-cyanovaleric acid, 1,1'-azobis-1-cyclohexanecarbonitrile, dimethyl-2,2'-azobisisobutyrite and 2,2'-azobis-2-(2-aminopropane)dihydrochlorite. The thermal polymerization initiator may be used alone or in combination of two or more. An amount of the thermal polymerization initiator depends on an ability of the initiator, and it may be determined within a range of from 0.1 to 20 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the raw material (A); Herein, a photo-polymerization initiator etc. may be of course used as the polymerization catalyst.

[0043] Additionally, a colorant, a polymerization inhibitor, an oxidation stabilizer, an ultraviolet-ray absorbing agent, a pigment or a dye etc. may be optionally added to the raw material (A). From among, the colorant, the oxidation stabilizer, the ultraviolet-ray absorbing agent, the pigment or the dye may be added in the raw materials (B) and (C).

[Compositional proportions]

[0044] Compositional proportions of the dental composition comprising the above-mentioned raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C) and raw material (D) are preferable as follows; that of the raw material (A) is from 20 to 50% by weight, that of the raw material (B) is from 5 to 70% by weight, that of the raw material (C) is from 1 to 60% by weight and that of raw material (D) is from 1 to 65% by weight based on total of the raw material (A), the raw material (B), the raw material (C) and the raw material (D).

[0045] If the raw material (A) is less than 20% by weight, the powdery component may be present in excess and it cannot be made in a form of dough to obtain only dried up material, which is not preferable because of difficult moldability. If it is above 50% by weight, the liquid component may be present in excess and air bubbles may be readily formed inside, which is not preferable because of difficult moldability. From a viewpoint of molding, the desirable range is from 25 to 40% by weight.

[0046] Further, if the raw material (B) is less than 5% by weight, it cannot be made in a form of dough, which makes molding difficult. If it is above 70% by weight, the powdery component may be present in excess. Thus, it cannot be made in a form of dough to obtain dried-up material, which makes molding difficulty. It is therefore not preferable. From a viewpoint of molding, the desirable range is from 5 to 50% by weight.

[0047] Further, if the raw material (C) is less than 1% by weight, improvement in mechanical properties cannot be obtained, which is not preferable. If it is above 60% by weight, dough property of the material is deteriorated, moldability is lowered and inside defects are produced in the molded body to lower mechanical characteristics, which is not preferable. From a viewpoint of molding and mechanical characteristics, the desirable range is from 5 to 50% by weight.

[0048] If the raw material (D) is less than 1% by weight, wear resistance, surface hardness, compressive strength and bending strength become insufficient, which is not preferable. If it is above 65% by weight, the powdery component may be present in excess or a dough property is deteriorated. Thereby, molding is made difficult, inside defects are readily produced to induce poor impact resistance, which is not preferable. From a viewpoint of molding and mechanical characteristics, the desirable range is from 5 to 60% by weight.

[0049] Further, compositional proportions of the dental composition comprising the above-mentioned raw material

(A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and raw material (E) are preferable as follows: that of the raw material (A) is from 20 to 50% by weight, that of the raw material (B) is from 5 to 70% by weight, that of the raw material (C) is from 1 to 60% by weight, that of raw material (D) is from 1 to 65% by weight and that of raw material (E) is from 1 to 30% by weight based on total of the raw material (A), the raw material (B), the raw material (C), the raw material (D) and the raw material (E).

[0050] If the raw material (A) is less than 20% by weight, the powdery component may be present in excess, which is not preferable because of difficult moldability, and if it is above 50% by weight, the liquid component may be present in excess, which is not preferable because of difficult moldability. From a viewpoint of molding, the desirable range is from 25 to 40% by weight.

[0051] Further, if the raw material (B) is less than 5% by weight, it cannot be made in a form of dough, which makes molding difficult. If it is above 70% by weight, the powdery component may be present in excess. Thus, it cannot be made in a form of dough to obtain dried up material, which makes molding difficult. It is therefore not preferable. From a viewpoint of molding, the desirable range is from 5 to 50% by weight.

[0052] Further, if the raw material (C) is less than 1% by weight, improvement in mechanical properties cannot be obtained, which is not preferable. If it is above 60% by weight, dough property of the material is deteriorated, moldability is lowered and inside defects are produced in the molded body to lower mechanical characteristics, which is not preferable. From a viewpoint of molding and mechanical characteristics, the desirable range is from 5 to 50% by weight.

[0053] If the raw material (D) is less than 1% by weight, wear resistance, surface hardness, compressive strength and bending strength become insufficient, which is not preferable. If it is above 65% by weight, the powdery component may be present in excess or a dough property is deteriorated. Thereby, molding is made difficult, inside defects are readily produced to induce poor impact resistance, which is not preferable. From a viewpoint of molding and mechanical characteristics, the desirable range is from 5 to 60% by weight.

[0054] If the raw material (E) is less than 1% by weight, wear resistance, surface hardness, compressive strength and bending strength become insufficient, which is not preferable. If it is above 30% by weight, the powdery component may be present in excess. Thereby, molding is made difficult, inside defects are readily produced to induce poor impact resistance, excess cloudiness is appeared to deteriorate aesthetic merit, which is not preferable. From a viewpoint of molding, mechanical characteristics and aesthetic merit, the desirable range is from 1 to 20% by weight.

[0055] Then, preparation of the dental composition and molding of the artificial tooth are illustrated in detail.

[0056] Herein, there is shown one example of a method for preparing raw materials and producing an artificial tooth by using said dental composition for the case of the dental composition comprising the above-mentioned raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C) and raw material (D). At first, these raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C) and raw material (D) are weighed at specified compositional proportions, and then a polymerization catalyst and a coloring agent etc. are added optionally. They are mixed uniformly and allowed to make a doughy material for a specified period of time. Then, the said material is inserted into an artificial tooth mold and compressed for molding. And, the material is polymerized and molded while being pressurized in the mold. It is preferable to use a thermal polymerization initiator as the polymerization catalyst. In that case, polymerization can be carried out by heating the mold.

[0057] Also, there is shown one example of a method for preparing raw materials and producing an artificial tooth by using said dental composition for the case of the dental composition comprising the above-mentioned raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and raw material (E). At first, these raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and raw material (E) are weighed at specified compositional proportions, and then a polymerization catalyst and a coloring agent etc. are added optionally. They are mixed uniformly and allowed to make a doughy material for a specified period of time. Then, the said material is inserted into an artificial tooth mold and compressed for molding. And, the material is polymerized and molded while being pressurized in the mold. In the case that a thermal polymerization initiator being used as the polymerization catalyst, the mold is heated and polymerized.

Examples

[0058] In the following Examples, the dental compositions shown in respective examples were used to make samples, and then the thus obtained samples were evaluated for characteristics such as bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance as evaluation items according to the following evaluating methods.

[Evaluation items and evaluating method]

<1> Bending strength, bending modulus and fracture toughness:

[0059] The tests were carried out by using a Instron Testing Machine (made by Shimazu Seisakusho, AUTOGRAPH AGS-500D) at a CHS (cross head speed) = 1mm/min and a span length = 20mm and calculating bending strength and bending modulus according to the following formula. A size of the sample was 2mm × 2mm × 25 mm. 1) Bending strength:

$$\sigma_{b3} = \frac{3PL}{2WT^2}$$

σ_{b3} : three points bending strength (MPa)
P: maximum load (N) at fracture of sample
L: span length (mm)
W: width (mm) of sample
T: thickness (mm) of sample

2) Bending modulus:

[0060]

$$E = \frac{L^3 P}{4WT^3 Y}$$

E: bending modulus (MPa)
L: span length (mm)
P: load (N)
W: width (mm) of sample
T: thickness (mm) of sample
Y: ratio of stress under load P

3) Fracture toughness

[0061] Fracture toughness is obtained by using a Instron Testing Machine (made by Shimazu Seisakusho, AUTOGRAPH AGS - 500D) at a CHS (cross head speed) = 1 mm/min and a span length = 20mm and calculating from an area of the determined record according to the following formula. A size of the sample was 2mm × 2mm × 25mm.

$$E = \int \sigma \times d\varepsilon = \int \frac{3LF}{2WT^2} \cdot \frac{6T}{L^2} dl = \frac{9}{WTL} \int Fdl$$

E: fracture toughness (MPa)
 σ : stress (MPa)
 ε : strain
F: load (N)
l: deflection amount (mm)
L: span length (mm)
W: width (mm) of sample
T: thickness (mm) of sample

[0062] Herein, stress σ is expressed by the following formula.

$$\sigma = \frac{3LF}{2WT^2}$$

[0063] Also, strain ϵ is expressed by the following formula.

$$\epsilon = \frac{6T1}{L^2}$$

<2> Hardness

[0064] As to hardness, micro Knoop hardness and Brinell hardness were determined.

1) Micro Knoop hardness.

[0065] Micro Knoop hardness was obtained by using a micro Knoop hardness tester (made by Shimazu Seisakusho, HMV 2000 type) in a thermo-hygrostat controlled room at $23 \pm 0.5^\circ \text{C}$ and relative humidity of 50% under a load of 50gf for a loading time of 30 seconds and calculating according to the following formula. A size of the sample was such that a diameter being 10mm and a height being 6mm, wherein sample being cylindrical.

$$HK = 14.23 \frac{F}{d^2}$$

HK: micro Knoop hardness

F: test load (N)

d: length (mm) of a diagonal line of a indent, as viewed longitudinally.

2) Brinell hardness

[0066] Brinell hardness was obtained by using a micro-Brinell hardness tester (made by Fuji Shikenki Seisakusho, Micro-Brinell hardness tester) in a thermo hydrostat controlled room at $23 \pm 0.5^\circ \text{C}$ and relative humidity of 50% under a load of 50kgf for a loading time of 30 seconds and calculating according to the following formula. A size of the sample was such that a diameter being 10mm and a height being 6mm, wherein sample being cylindrical.

$$HBS \text{ (or HBW)} = \frac{2F}{\pi D (D - \sqrt{D^2 - d^2})}$$

HBS: Brinell hardness obtained by using a spherical indenter made of steel.

HBW: Brinell hardness obtained by using a spherical indenter made of ultra hard alloy

F: test load (N)

D: diameter of a indenter (mm)

d: length (mm) of a indent

<3> Coloring resistance

[0067] L^* , a^* and b^* of a sample before coloring were measured for colors by using a color computer (made by PHOTO RESEARCH, PR 650 type). The sample was dipped in a boiling aqueous solution of 0.2% basic fuchsin for 1 hour, thereafter rinsed with water, dried and measured again for color. A difference of measured data was calculated as a color difference according to the following formula, and the obtained value was used as a measure of coloring resistance.

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{(L^*_1 - L^*_0)^2 + (a^*_1 - a^*_0)^2 + (b^*_1 - b^*_0)^2}$$

ΔE : a color difference

L^*_0 : L^* value before coloring

a^*_0 : a^* value before coloring

b^*_0 : b^* value before coloring

L^*_1 : L^* value after coloring

a*₁: a* value after coloring

b*₁: b* value after coloring

(Example 1)

[0068] A dental composition comprised of a raw material (A), a raw material (B), a raw material (C) and a raw material (D). Concretely, the following raw materials were used.

[0069] As the raw material (A), methylmethacrylate (MMA) and ethyleneglycol dimethylmetracrylate (EDMA) were used.

[0070] As the raw material (B), polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) having an average molecular weight of 420,000 and an average particle size of 45 μ m was used.

[0071] As the raw material (C), a crosslinked polymer① was used. The crosslinked polymer① was a copolymer of methylmethacrylate and allylmethacrylate having allyl groups wherein an average particle size being 50 μ m.

[0072] As the raw material (D), an organic and inorganic filler complex having an average particle size of 40 μ m was used. The complex was prepared as follows: 100 parts by weight of fine powdery silica having an average particle size of 40nm, 50 parts by weight of methylmethacrylate as a monomer, 6.7 parts by weight of γ -methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane as a coupling agent, and 0.5 parts by weight of benzoyl peroxide as a polymerization catalyst were mixed under agitation, and polymerized by heating at 90° C, and then pulverized.

[0073] As the polymerization catalyst, benzoyl peroxide was used.

[0074] The above-mentioned raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst were weighed at the weight parts as shown in Table 1 of Example 1 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0075] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above-mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 1 of Example 1.

(Example 2)

[0076] The same raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst as those used in Example 1 were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 1 of Example 2 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0077] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above-mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 1 of Example 2.

(Example 3)

[0078] The same raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst as those used in Example 1 were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 1 of Example 3 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0079] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above-mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 1 of Example 3.

(Example 4)

[0080] The same raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst as those used in Example 1 were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 1 of Example 4 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0081] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above-mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 1 of Example 4.

(Example 5)

[0082] The same raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst as those used in Example 1 were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 1 of Example 5 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0083] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above-mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 1 of Example 5.

Table 1

	Example 1	Example 2	Example 3	Example 4	Example 5
MMA*	50 (25.32)	57 (28.86)	51 (25.82)	57 (28.72)	51 (25.69)
EDMA*	14.5 (7.34)	15.5 (7.85)	14.5 (7.34)	15.5 (7.81)	14.5 (7.30)
Catalyst	2	2	2	2	2
PMMA*	36 (18.23)	25 (12.66)	26 (13.16)	17 (8.56)	18 (9.07)
Crosslinked polymer①*	53 (26.84)	58 (29.37)	62 (31.39)	67 (33.75)	71 (35.77)
Organic and inorganic filler complex*	44 (22.28)	42 (21.27)	44 (22.28)	42 (21.16)	44 (22.17)
Inorganic filler	-	-	-	-	-
Bending modulus(MPa)	3853.1	3602.7	3782.1	3693.3	3778.0
Bending strength(MPa)	102.5	96.9	97.2	103.3	96.7
Fracture toughness(MPa)	1.36	1.30	1.25	1.44	1.24
Brinell hardness	22.05	21.51	22.38	21.37	21.78
Knoop hardness	23.8	24.1	24.6	24.0	24.3
Color difference ΔE	6.31	6.83	7.02	6.96	7.11

Note: Figures in parentheses mean % by weight in respect to total % of those with * marks.

(Example 6)

[0084] The same raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst as those used in Example 1 were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 2 of Example 6 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0085] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above-mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 2 of Example 6.

(Example 7)

[0086] The same raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst as those used in Example 1 were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 2 of Example 7 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0087] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above-mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 2 of Example 7.

[0088] The samples of Example 1 to Example 5 had intermediate characteristics between the sample consisting of the composition used for a resin tooth shown in the following Comparative Example 1 and the sample consisting of a composition used for a hard resin tooth shown in Comparative Example 2 as to bending modulus, bending strength,

fracture toughness, Brinell hardness, Knoop hardness and color difference. Therefore, they can be used for making excellent artificial teeth. Additionally, the samples of Example 1 to Example 5 had particularly good transparency and they had wide coloring ranges. They are superior in aesthetic merit due to less formation of white particles formed from the inorganic filler used in the hard resin teeth and low cloudiness, and superior in coloring resistance. Although the reasons thereof are not clarified, the following matters can be considered. (i) Uniform mixing of the monomer, the uncrosslinked polymer and the crosslinked polymer is possible, since the organic and inorganic filler complex is used; (ii) the monomer is polymerized in such a state that the uncrosslinked polymer is swollen by the monomer, or the uncrosslinked polymer is relatively dissolved in the monomer, or the uncrosslinked polymer is dispersed in the monomer; (iii) an interpenetrative network structure is formed by polymerization of the monomer in such a state that the crosslinked polymer is swollen by the monomer and is penetrated into the crosslinked polymer particles, or such an interpenetrative network structure as obtained by graft reaction of the crosslinked polymer and the polymer in which a monomer is used as the raw material via a allyl group is formed; (iv) the monomer is polymerized in such a state that the polymer of methylmethacrylate used in the organic and inorganic filler complex is swollen by the monomer, or the polymer is relatively dissolved in the monomer, or the polymer is dispersed in the monomer.

[0089] The samples of Example 6 and 7 were superior in bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness and color difference to the sample of Comparative Example 2 consisting of the composition used for the resin tooth, and further they have similar properties for Brinell hardness and Knoop hardness to the sample of Comparative Example 2 consisting of the composition used for the hard resin tooth. However, some opaque feelings were found and color difference was relatively high compared with the samples of Example 1 to Example 5 since the content of the organic and inorganic filler complex being much, but still good. Although the reasons for these characteristics are not clarified, it is considered that the relatively low crosslinked polymer and the high content of the organic and inorganic filler complex act together to be effective.

(Example 8)

[0090] The same raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst as those used in Example 1 as well as a raw material (E) were used. An inorganic filler as the raw material (E) was obtained by coupling fine powdery silica having an average particle size of 40nm by means of γ -methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane as a coupling agent.

[0091] The above-mentioned raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D), raw material (E) and the polymerization catalyst were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 2 of Example 8 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0092] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above-mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 2 of Example 8.

(Example 9)

[0093] The same raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst as well as the raw material (E) in Example 8 were used. The raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D), raw material (E) and the polymerization catalyst were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 2 of Example 9 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0094] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 2 of Example 9.

(Example 10)

[0095] The same raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst as well as the raw material (E) in Example 8 were used. The raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D), raw material (E) and the polymerization catalyst were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 2 of Example 10 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0096] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above-mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 2 of Example 10.

Table 2

	Example 6	Example 7	Example 8	Example 9	Example 10
MMA*	51 (29.91)	51 (28.57)	51 (25.69)	51 (25.69)	51 (25.69)
EDMA *	14.5 (8.50)	14.5 (8.12)	14.5 (7.30)	14.5 (7.30)	14.5 (7.30)
Catalyst	2	2	2	2	2
PMMA *	31.5 (18.48)	26 (14.57)	33 (16.62)	22 (11.08)	26 (13.10)
Crosslinked polymer①*	3.5 (2.05)	7 (3.92)	78 (39.29)	89 (44.84)	62 (31.23)
Organic and inorganic filler complex *	70 (41.06)	80 (44.82)	15 (7.56)	11 (5.54)	30 (15.11)
Inorganic filler *	-	-	7 (3.53)	11 (5.54)	15 (7.56)
Bending modulus (MPa)	4423.3	4493.3	3688.2	3708.2	3821.5
Bending strength(MPa)	112.5	108.5	100.1	98.9	96.8
Fracture toughness(MPa)	1.92	1.66	1.36	1.32	1.23
Brinell hardness	26.56	27.12	21.44	21.51	22.41
Knoop hardness	27.8	28.4	22.5	23.8	24.7
Color difference ΔE	10.58	11.48	7.33	8.02	8.56

Note: Figures in parentheses mean % by weight in respect to total % of those with * marks.

(Example 11)

[0097] The same raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst as well as the raw material (E) in Example 8 were used. The raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D), raw material (E) and the polymerization catalyst were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 3 of Example 11 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0098] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 3 of Example 11.

[0099] The samples obtained in Example 8 to Example 11 had intermediate characteristics between the sample consisting of the composition used for a resin tooth shown in the following Comparative Example 1 and the sample consisting of a composition used for a hard resin tooth shown in Comparative Example 2 as to bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, Brinell hardness, Knoop hardness and color difference. Therefore, they can be used for making excellent artificial teeth. Additionally, they had good transparency and wide coloring ranges. They are superior in aesthetic merit due to less formation of white particles formed from the inorganic filler used in the hard resin teeth and low cloudiness, and superior in coloring resistance. Although the reasons thereof are not clarified, the following matters can be considered. (i) Uniform mixing of the monomer, the uncrosslinked polymer and the crosslinked polymer is possible, since the organic and inorganic filler complex is used; (ii) the monomer is polymerized in such a state that the uncrosslinked polymer is swollen by the monomer, and the uncrosslinked polymer being relatively dissolved in the monomer, and the uncrosslinked polymer being dispersed in the monomer; (iii) an interpenetrative network structure is formed by polymerization of the monomer in such a state that the crosslinked polymer is swollen by the monomer and is penetrated into the crosslinked polymer particles, or such an interpenetrative network structure as obtained by graft reaction of the crosslinked polymer and the polymer in which a monomer is used as the raw material via a allyl group is formed; (iv) the monomer is polymerized in such a state that the polymer of methylmethacrylate used in the organic and inorganic filler complex is swollen by the monomer, or the polymer is relatively dissolved in the monomer, or the polymer is dispersed in the monomer. However, color difference was somewhat high and coloring resistance was slightly inferior compared to the samples obtained in Example 1 to Example 5. The reasons therefor are considered that it is caused by the organic and inorganic filler complex used together with the inorganic filler. Thus, if the filling content of the inorganic filler is increased, an opaquing trend can be found, resulting in an increased opacity. Thereby, the aesthetic merit was reduced somewhat.

(Example 12)

[0100] A dental composition composed of a raw material (A), a raw material (B), a raw material (C) and a raw material (D). Concretely, the following raw materials were used.

[0101] As the raw material (A) and the raw material (B), the same ones as in Example 1 were used.

[0102] As the raw material (C), a crosslinked polymer^② was used. The crosslinked polymer^② is polyethyleneglycol dimethacrylate comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component without any allyl group which has an average particle size of 50 μm .

[0103] As the raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst, the same ones as in Example 1 were used.

[0104] The above-mentioned raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 3 of Example 12 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0105] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above-mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 3 of Example 12.

(Example 13)

[0106] The same raw material (A), raw material (B), raw material (C), raw material (D) and the polymerization catalyst as those used in Example 12 were weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 3 of Example 13 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0107] Bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, hardness and coloring resistance of the obtained samples were evaluated according to the above-mentioned evaluating method. The results are shown in Table 3 of Example 13.

[0108] The samples obtained in Example 12 and Example 13 had intermediate characteristics between the sample consisting of the composition used for a resin tooth shown in the following Comparative Example 1 and the sample consisting of a composition used for a hard resin tooth shown in Comparative Example 2 as to bending modulus, bending strength, fracture toughness, Brinell hardness, Knoop hardness and color difference. Therefore, they can be used for making excellent artificial teeth. Additionally, they had also good transparency and wide coloring ranges. They are superior in aesthetic merit due to less formation of white particles formed from the inorganic filler used in the hard resin teeth and low cloudiness, and superior in coloring resistance, but as to strength and hardness, they were somewhat inferior to those in Example 1 to Example 11. Although the reasons thereof are not clarified, the following matters can be considered. (i) Uniform mixing of the monomer, the uncrosslinked polymer and the crosslinked polymer is possible, since the organic and inorganic filler complex is used; (ii) the monomer is polymerized in such a state that the uncrosslinked polymer is swollen by the monomer, or the uncrosslinked polymer is relatively dissolved in the monomer, or the uncrosslinked polymer is dispersed in the monomer; (iii) an interpenetrative network structure is not formed by graft reaction of such the crosslinked polymer and the polymer in which a monomer is used as the raw material as in Example 1 to Example 11 since the crosslinked polymer does not contain an allyl group, but the monomer swells the crosslinked polymer and the monomer are polymerized in such a state that the monomer is penetrated in the crosslinked polymer particles, thus the interpenetrative network structure is formed; (iv) the monomer is polymerized in such a state that the polymer of methylmethacrylate used in the organic and inorganic filler complex is swollen by the monomer, or the polymer is relatively dissolved in the monomer, or the polymer is dispersed in the monomer.

Table 3

	Example 11	Example 12	Example 13
MMA *	57 (29.16)	51 (25.82)	57 (28.86)
EDMA *	15.5 (7.93)	14.5 (7.34)	15.5 (7.85)
Catalyst	2	2	2
PMMA *	25 (12.79)	26 (13.16)	25 (12.66)

Note: Figures in parentheses mean % by weight in respect to total % of those with * marks.

Table 3 (continued)

	Example 11	Example 12	Example 13
Crosslinked polymer ^①	58 (29.67)	-	-
Crosslinked polymer ^② *	-	62 (31.39)	58 (29.37)
Organic and inorganic filler complex *	30 (15.35)	44 (22.28)	42 (21.27)
Inorganic filler *	10 (5.12)	-	-
Bending modulus(MPa)	3688.2	3769.5	3608.3
Bending strength(MPa)	97.2	96.8	96.3
Fracture toughness(MPa)	1.28	1.24	1.29
Brinell hardness	21.48	21.15	20.86
Knoop hardness	24.1	22.6	22.4
Color difference ΔE	8.43	7.86	7.43

Note: Figures in parentheses mean % by weight in respect to total % of those with * marks.

(Comparative Example 1)

[0109] The raw material used in a resin tooth was weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 4 of Comparative Example 1 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained.

[0110] As to the thus obtained sample, the same test as in the above-mentioned Examples was carried out.

[0111] As shown in Table 4 of Comparative Example 1, the sample had high fracture toughness and good coloring resistance (small color difference), but it had low micro Knoop hardness and Brinell hardness, which suggesting low wear resistance of resin teeth to be made.

(Comparative Example 2)

[0112] The raw material used in enamel segments of the hard resin tooth was weighed at the weight parts shown in Table 4 of Comparative Example 2 and mixed to homogenize, and maintained for a specified period to make a dough. The dough was put in a mold, and polymerized by heating at 135° C and 300MPa for 15 minutes, thereby a sample was obtained. As the inorganic filler, the same fine powdery silica as in Example 1 were used. As the organic and inorganic filler complex, the same one as in Example 1 were used,

[0113] As to the thus obtained sample, the same test as in the above-mentioned Examples was carried out.

[0114] As shown in Table 4 of Comparative Example 2, the sample was quite opposite to the sample for resin tooth. It had very high micro Knoop hardness and Brinell hardness as well as superior wear resistance, but destruction due to fracture was easily occurred since fracture toughness was very low. Further, it was very poor in coloring resistance (large color difference).

Table 4

	Comparative Example 1	Comparative Example 2
MMA	97	-
EDMA	3	-
Peroxide catalyst	0.2	-
PMMA	200	-
Urethaneacrylate	-	30
Methacrylate	-	40
Neopentylglycol dimethacrylate	-	30
Azo catalyst	-	1
Organic and inorganic filler complex	-	40
Inorganic filler	-	9

Table 4 (continued)

	Comparative Example 1	Comparative Example 2
Bending modulus(MPa)	2801.4	4149.6
Bending strength(MPa)	122.3	88.4
Fracture toughness(MPa)	2.67	0.94
Brinell hardness	18.35	27.95
Knoop hardness	18.2	35.8
Color difference ΔE	9.11	31.10

[0115] The dental composition according to the invention comprises the monomer and/or oligomer, the uncrosslinked polymer, the crosslinked polymer, the organic and inorganic filler complex, and optionally the inorganic filler. It was prepared by utilizing swelling and dissolution of the uncrosslinked polymer by means of the monomer and/or oligomer as well as swelling of the crosslinked polymer etc. to polymerize the monomer and/or oligomer and form an interpenetrative network structure. By using the dental composition according to the invention for making an artificial tooth etc., there can be provided an artificial tooth at cheap cost by wherein color stability and coloring resistance as well as an aesthetic merit can be maintained for a long period. It has superior characteristics such as bending strength, impact strength, hardness and wear resistance, has both advantages of the conventional resin tooth and the hard resin tooth, and it also supplements the defects of the resin tooth and the hard resin tooth.

[0116] For example, an artificial tooth made using the dental composition according to the invention has higher hardness than the conventional resin tooth but has lower hardness characteristics than those of the hard resin tooth and the porcelain tooth. Thereby, low wear resistance of the resin tooth and low fracture toughness of the hard resin tooth and the porcelain tooth can be improved. Further, color stability and coloring resistance are much superior to those of the hard resin tooth, an aesthetic merit is equal to those of resin tooth and the porcelain tooth. Thus, the artificial tooth according to the invention is quite useful. Additionally, the dental composition is obtained at a low cost, since the raw materials thereof are cheap and preparation is easy. Thus, cheap and good qualities can be provided, since production of the artificial tooth is easy.

[0117] More concretely, by a dental composition comprising (A) at least one monomer and/or oligomer selected from methacrylates and acrylates, (B) an uncrosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s), (C) a crosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s), and (D) an organic and inorganic filler complex, there can be provided a dental composition useful for an artificial tooth in which a color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit can be maintained for a long period of time, which is superior in bending strength, impact strength, hardness and wear resistance etc. and which has balanced characteristics.

[0118] The artificial tooth obtained by using said dental composition can maintain color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit for a long period of time, is superior in bending strength, impact strength, hardness and wear resistance etc. and has balanced characteristics, since the composition comprising the raw material (A), the raw material (B), the raw material (C) and the raw material (D) is made by polymerizing and hardening the monomer and/or the oligomer in (A).

[0119] Further, by a dental composition comprising (A) at least one monomer and/or oligomer selected from methacrylates and acrylates, (B) an uncrosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s), (C) a crosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s), (D) an organic and inorganic filler complex, and (E) an inorganic filler, there can be provided a dental composition useful for an artificial tooth in which color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit can be maintained for a long period of time, which is superior in bending strength, impact strength, hardness and wear resistance etc. and which has balanced characteristics even though with a somewhat opaqueness due to the raw material (E). Herein, the raw material (E) is inexpensive compared to the raw material (D) and the former has a tendency to raise hardness etc.

[0120] The artificial tooth obtained by using said dental composition can maintain color stability, coloring resistance and aesthetic merit for a long period of time, is superior in bending strength, impact strength, hardness and wear resistance etc. and has balanced characteristics even though with a somewhat opaqueness due to the raw material

(E), since the composition comprising the raw material (A), the raw material (B), the raw material (C), the raw material (D) and the raw material (E) is made by polymerizing and hardening the monomer and/or the oligomer in (A). Herein, the raw material (E) is inexpensive compared to the raw material (D) and the former has a tendency to raise hardness etc.

5 [0121] It is preferable that the crosslinked polymer is at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group. The raw material (A) may swell the crosslinked polymer, or may be absorbed in the crosslinked polymer, to be polymerized and hardened, by which three-dimensional interpenetrative network constitution is formed. For the crosslinked polymer comprising an allyl group, the crosslinked polymer and the polymer derived from the raw material (A) are graft polymerized via the allyl group, to form the interpenetrative network structure. For the crosslinked polymer without an allyl group, the interpenetrative network structure is formed with the raw material (A).

10 [0122] Among them, increase in hardness and improvement in wear resistance may be obtained by the crosslinked polymer comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component without an allyl group. Further, increase in hardness as well as improvement in wear resistance and strength may be obtained by the crosslinked polymer comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with an allyl group. Therefore, if both crosslinked polymers are used in together, increase in hardness as well as improvement in wear resistance and strength may be obtained.

15 [0123] It is needless to mention that the present invention is not limited by the foregoing examples.

20 Claims

1. A dental composition comprising:

- 25 (A) at least one monomer and/or oligomer selected from methacrylates and acrylates,
- (B) an uncrosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s),
- (C) a crosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s), and
- 30 (D) an organic and inorganic filler complex.

2. A dental composition comprising:

- 35 (A) at least one monomer and/or oligomer selected from methacrylates and acrylates,
- (B) an uncrosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s),
- (C) a cross-linked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s),
- 40 (D) an organic and inorganic filler complex, and (E) an inorganic filler.

3. A dental composition according to claim 1 or 2 characterized in that the above-mentioned crosslinked polymer is at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group.

4. A dental composition comprising a monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate, uncrosslinked polymethylmethacrylate, at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group, and an organic and inorganic filler complex.

5. A dental composition comprising a monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate, uncrosslinked polymethylmethacrylate, at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group, an organic and inorganic filler complex, and an inorganic filler.

6. An artificial tooth produced from a composition comprising:

- (A) at least one monomer and/or oligomer selected from methacrylates and acrylates,

(B) an uncrosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s),

(C) a crosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s), and

(D) an organic and inorganic filler complex, wherein a monomer and/or oligomer (A) being polymerized and hardened.

7. An artificial tooth produced from a composition comprising:

(A) at least one monomer and/or oligomer selected from methacrylates and acrylates,

(B) an uncrosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s),

(C) a crosslinked polymer selected from homopolymers of methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; copolymers of at least two monomers selected from methacrylates, acrylates and styrene; mixtures of the homopolymers; mixtures of the copolymers; and mixtures of the homopolymer(s) and the copolymer(s),

(D) an organic and inorganic filler complex; and (E) an inorganic filler,

wherein a monomer and/or oligomer (A) being polymerized and hardened.

8. An artificial tooth according to claim 6 or 7 characterized in that the above-mentioned crosslinked polymer is at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group.

9. An artificial tooth produced from a composition comprising a monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate, uncrosslinked polymethylmethacrylate, at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group, and an organic and inorganic filler complex wherein a monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate being polymerized and hardened.

10. An artificial tooth produced from a composition comprising a monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate, uncrosslinked polymethylmethacrylate, at least one of crosslinked polymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate as a main component with or without an allyl group, an organic and inorganic filler complex, and an inorganic filler wherein a monomer and/or oligomer of methacrylate being polymerized and hardened.